

Panaji, 13th November, 1975 (Kartika 22, 1897)

SERIES II No. 33

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

Home Department (General)

Office of the District Magistrate

Order

No. JUD/MP/75/1460

Read: Memorandum No. COL/EST/MAM/CL/PLS/75/2317 dated 5-11-1975.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 22 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), I, R. Narayanaswami, District Magistrate of Goa, hereby order that Shri M. M. Mithavkar, Executive Magistrate, Mapusa, Bardez may also exercise the powers of Executive Magistrate within the local limits of Pernem Taluka during the absence of Shri N. D. Vengurlenkar, Mamlatdar of Pernem, on leave, in addition to his own duties.

R. Narayanaswami, District Magistrate of Goa.

Panaji, 5th November, 1975.

Education and Public Works Department

Order

No. Edn.PWD/Gaz/137/72

Read: — Govt. Order No. RD/Gaz/137/72 dated 29-5-72 published in the Official Gazette No. 9 Series I dated 1-6-1972.

Government is hereby pleased to appoint Dr. R. S. Rao, Deputy Director, Central National Herbarium, Botanical Survey of India, Howrah, as one more Collaborator to assist the Editorial Board of Gazetteers of Goa, Daman and Diu with his contribution for writing up the Section on «Flora» of Goa, Daman and Diu.

He shall be governed as per the terms and conditions stipulated in the Govt. order referred to above as revised from time to time.

The expenditure shall be debited to the Budget «265—Other Administrative Services B.—Gazetteers and Statistical Memoirs, B-3 Office Expenses (Plan).

This issues with the concurrence of Finance Department vide U.O.No.Fin(Exp)3898/75 dated 4-10-1975.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

N. Rajasekhar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 1st November, 1975.

Rural Development Department

Order

No. CDB/Coop/Misc/1798/66/71/51/9306

Read: Govt. Order 1) No. CDB/Coop/Misc/2574/66-71 dated 6-1-1972.

2) No. CDB/Coop/Misc/1798/66-71/72 dated 4-7-1972.

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee vide Confidential letter No. F.1/4(6)/75-A.IV dated 26-9-1975, Shri R. P. Kamat, is, hereby confirmed against the post of chief Auditor, Cooperative Societies, Panaji, General Central Service (Class II Gazetted post) with effect from the date it has been declared permanent i.e. 6-1-1972, under Government Order referred to above, in the Scale of Rs. 650-1200.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

F. A. Figueiredo, Under Secretary (Planning).

Panaji, 6th November, 1975.

Order

No. RDD/Coop/Fix-grade/130/75/9214

Read: Letter from Registrar of Coop. Societies Govt. of Goa, Daman and Diu, Panaji No. MKT/LG/FGC/35/75 dated 31-7-75.

Approval of the Govt. is accorded to fix grading and testing charges detailed as per the statements enclosed for different agricultural commodities graded by the State Agmark Laboratory, Goa, in the office of the State Marketing Officer, Goa, Daman and Diu, Panaji under the Scheme of Agricultural Marketing and Quality Control.

The receipts so realised should be credited to the 'Head of Account' — «105-Agriculture — F. other receipts-F2 other items (Quality Control)».

This issues with the concurrence of Finance Department vide their U. O. No. Fin (Exp)/3867/75 dated 6-10-75.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

F. A. Figueiredo, Under Secretary (Planning).

Panaji, 30th October, 1975.

STATEMENT I

Grading charges

Honey. — Rs. 10/- per kg. for the quantity graded subject to the minimum of Rs. 10/- per sample for the quantity upto one quintal or part thereof. Above 1 quintal Rs. 0.10 per kg. (both for packing and repacking).

Coconut oil and Groundnut oil. — Rs. 1/- per quintal for the quantity graded subject to the minimum of Rs. 15/- per sample for the quantity upto 15 quintals or part thereof.

Above 15 quintals Re. 0.02 per kg. (both for packing and repacking).

Ground spices Black pepper.—Re. 0.08 per kg. for the quantity graded subject to the minimum of Rs. 8/- per sample for the quantity upto 1 quintal or part thereof. Above 1 quintal Re. 0.02 per kg. (both for packing and repacking).

Chilli powder.—Re. 0.08 per kg. for the quantity graded subject to the minimum of Rs. 8/- per sample for the quantity upto 1 quintal or part thereof. Above 1 quintal Re. 0.02 per kg. (both for packing and repacking).

Turmeric powder.—Re. 0.08 per kg. for the quantity graded subject to the minimum of Rs. 8/- per sample for the quantity upto one quintal or part thereof. Above 1 quintal Rs. 0.02 per kg. (both for packing and repacking).

Coriander powder.—Re. 0.07 per kg. for the quantity graded subject to the minimum of Rs. 7/- per sample for the quantity upto 1 quintal or part thereof. Above 1 quintal Re. 0.2 per kg. (both for packing and repacking).

Curry powder.—Re. 0.10 per kg. for the quantity graded subject to the minimum of Rs. 10/- per sample for the quantity upto 1 quintal or part thereof. Above 1 quintal Re. 0.02 per kg. (both for packing and repacking).

STATEMENT II

Testing charges

1. Coconut and groundnut oil

| Tests | Charges |
|--|---------|
| 1. Specific gravity | 0.75 |
| 2. Refractive index | 0.25 |
| 3. Saponification value | 4.00 |
| 4. Iodine value | 6.50 |
| 5. Unsaponifiable matter | 4.00 |
| 6. Acid value | 0.70 |
| 7. Polenske value | 3.00 |
| 8. Halphen test | 0.30 |
| 9. Bellier's turbidity test | 1.50 |
| 10. Circular paper chromatography | 2.00 |

Full analysis Rs. 23.00

2. Honey

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| 1. Specific gravity | 0.50 |
| 2. Ash | 0.75 |
| 3. Moisture | 0.50 |
| 4. Total reducing sugar | 3.50 |
| 5. Acidity | 0.40 |
| 6. Fructose, glucose, sucrose | 5.25 |
| 7. Fiech's test | 0.50 |
| 8. Aniline chloride test | 0.60 |

Full analysis Rs. 12.00

3. Curry powder

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| 1. Ash | 0.25 |
| 2. Moisture | 5.25 |
| 3. Ash insoluble in dil. HCL | 1.50 |
| 4. Crude fibre | 3.00 |
| 5. Non volatile ether extract | 1.00 |
| 6. Common salt | 2.75 |
| 7. Lead, chromate | 2.25 |

Full analysis Rs. 16.00

Testing charges

4. Ground spices

(a) Black pepper

| Test | Charges |
|--------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. Ash | 0.25 |
| 2. Moisture | 5.25 |
| 3. Ash insoluble in dil. Hcl. | 1.50 |
| 4. Crude fibre | 3.00 |
| 5. Non volatile ether extract | 1.00 |

Full analysis Rs. 11.00

(b) Chilli powder

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| 1. Ash | 0.25 |
| 2. Moisture | 5.25 |
| 3. Ash insoluble in dil. Hcl. | 1.50 |
| 4. Crude fibre | 3.00 |
| 5. Non volatile ether extract | 1.00 |

Full analysis Rs. 11.00

(c) Turmeric powder

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| 1. Ash | 0.25 |
| 2. Moisture | 5.25 |
| 3. Ash insoluble in dil. Hcl. | 1.50 |
| 4. Starch | 1.50 |
| 5. Lead, chromate | 2.25 |

Full analysis Rs. 10.75

(d) Coriander powder

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| 1. Ash | 0.25 |
| 2. Moisture | 5.25 |
| 3. Ash insoluble in dil. Hcl. | 1.50 |

Full analysis Rs. 7.00

Office of the Registrar of Cooperative Societies

No. RES-(c)-181/Goa/75

Read: This office notice No. RCS/AR(O)/Salcete/15/75 dated 15-9-75 issued to all the Management Committee members of Carmona Serv. Coop. Society Ltd., Carmona-Salcete.

The Carmona Service Cooperative Society Ltd., Carmona was registered on 5-12-1964 under code symbol No. RES-(c)-181/Goa with the main object of advancing agricultural loans to its members and to meet their other agricultural demands such as improved seeds, fertilizers, etc. However, from the available records it is noticed that the management has not taken interest in the main objectives and has been concentrating only on Fair Price shop business. The Annual General Body meeting during the year 1974-75 was not convened within the prescribed time limit as required under Section 75 of the Act and as a result, the same had to be convened by authorising an official of this department under this office order No. RCS/AR(O)/AGM/Salcete/15/75 dated 1-5-75. The management has been retaining heavy cash balances in hand contrary to the provisions of its bye-laws despite the fact that the society has been permitted to operate an account with the Central Bank of India (Carmona branch). Instructions given through Audit Reports for not keeping heavy cash balances in hand have also not been of any avail so far. Besides, one of the member of the Managing Committee has become a defaulter to the society thereby becoming disqualified to hold office in terms of Rule 55 of the Cooperative Societies Rules 1962.

In the circumstances a notice as cited above under Section 78(1) of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, read with Rule 61(2) of the Cooperative Societies Rules 1962 was issued to all the members of the Managing Committee

of the society requesting them to show cause as to why the Managing Committee should not be superseded. In reply to the said notice one of the Managing Committee members has said that he had no objection to the supersession of the Managing Committee of the society. The other five members including the Chairman, have requested to continue the present committee and have denied that the committee did not show interest in the working of the society. The explanation submitted by them is rather vague and does not provide any concrete indication that the working of the society will improve under the present management. The remaining 3 committee members of the society have not sent any reply within the time limit. The Goa State Coop. Bank Ltd., Panaji which was consulted in the matter in terms of Sub-Section (1) of Section 78 of the Act, has replied vide its letter No. Govt./RCS/2-4102 dated 17-9-75, that in the interest of a smooth working, it has no objection to the supersession of the Managing Committee of the society.

In view of the above, I pass the following order:—

Order

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 78(1) of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, read with Rule 61(1) of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby remove the Managing Committee of Carmona Service Coop. Society Ltd., Carmona, Salcete, consisting of 9 members mentioned below with effect from the date of issue of this order:—

1. Shri Oxler Furtado,
2. Shri Eustaquio D'Costa,
3. Shri Valent D'Costa,
4. Shri John Cruz Ferrao,
5. Shri Datta Poi Vaidhya,
6. Shri Bassiano Fernandes,
7. Shri Antonio Rodrigues,
8. Shri Luis Barreto,
9. Shri Menino F. Pereira.

Further, under Sub-Section 1(a) of Section 78 of the aforesaid Act, I hereby appoint in place of the above Managing Committee, a committee consisting of the following members of the society to manage its affairs for an initial period of one year:—

1. Shri Lucio Viegas,
2. Shri Jaiwanta V. Nagvenkar,
3. Shri Joseph Caldeiro,
4. Dr. Clementino George.

The above committee should elect a Chairman from amongst themselves during their first meeting which should be held within fifteen days of the date of issue of this order.

Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 24th October, 1975.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, V. S. Dempo, Surla Mines Staff Consumers Cooperative Society Ltd., Surla is registered under code symbol No. CON-72-/Goa.

M. N. Bhartiya, Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 22nd October, 1975.

No. GEN-(b)-4/Goa/LQD/Notice/75

Read: This office interim order No. GEN-(b)-4/Goa/LQD/Notice/75 dated 17-9-1975 wherein Siolim Oxel Sahakari Vahatuk Vyavasayik Sanstha Ltd., Siolim-Bardez was required to show cause as to why the society be not wound up.

Order

In virtue of the powers vested in me under section 102(1) of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied

to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, the Siolim Oxel Sahakari Vahatuk Vyavasayik Sanstha Ltd., Siolim-Bardez was called upon to submit its explanation, if any, within one month from the date of issue of interim order cited above. Since no reply has been received so far from the society, I am satisfied that there is no objection in regard to the society being taken into liquidation. Hence, I, M. N. Bhartiya, Asstt. Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby confirm the aforesaid order in terms of Section 102(2) of the aforesaid Act, as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Further, under Section 103(1) of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, read with Rule 86(1) of the Cooperative Societies Rules 1962, I, further appoint Shri D. L. Dhargalkar, Jr. Auditor, Coop. Societies, Mapusa-Bardez, as liquidator of the Siolim Oxel Sah. Vahatuk Vyavasayik Sanstha Ltd., Siolim Bardez.

M. N. Bhartiya, Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 21st October, 1975.

No. RES-(c)-35/Goa/1975

Read: 1. This office Notice No. RSR-Seva-Maye-Notice/74-75 dated 7-8-1975 issued to all the Managing Committee members of Maye Vaingini V. K. S. S. Society Ltd., Maye-Bicholim under Section 78(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu read with Rule 61(2) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962.

2. Letter No. Govt./RCS/2-3649 dated 13-8-1975 from the Manager, Goa State Co-op. Bank Ltd., Panaji informing about the heavy overdues of S.T. and M.T. loans and appointment of Shri P. H. Gawas, Supervisor Sankhali Branch as Administrator to the society.

Sub: Supersession of the Managing Committee under Section 78(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu read with Rule 61(2) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962.

The Maye Vaingini V. K. S. S. Society Ltd., Maye Bicholim was registered on 8-5-1963 under registration No. RES-(c)-35/Goa. The main object of the society is to bring about agricultural development in the area of operation of the society and to improve the economic conditions of the members by providing them with agricultural loans and requisites in cash and in kind.

It is however noticed that the present working of the society is not conducive to the fulfilment of the above objectives. It did not convene its Annual General Body meeting for the year 1973-74. The Managing Committee members have become inactive. They are not performing their duties as per the requirements of the Act, Rules and Bye-laws. There is a huge amount of M.T. loans overdue from the members. The management has not taken any action to recover the loans. The society is also overdue to the Goa State Co-op. Bank and as a result it is not able to secure further credit from the latter with a view to implement the schemes of the M.F.A.L. Development Agency for the benefit of its eligible members.

In the circumstances a notice under Section 78(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu read with Rule 61(2) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962 was issued to all the Managing Committee members of the aforesaid society requesting them to show cause as to why the Managing Committee should not be superseded. However no reply has been received from the Managing Committee members so far. In view of the above I remove the Managing Committee of the said society and pass the following order:—

Order

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 78(1) of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu read with Rule 61(2) of the Co-op. Societies Rules, 1962, I, Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby remove the Managing Committee of the Maye

Vaingini V.K.S.S. Society Ltd., Maye-Bicholim consisting of nine members mentioned below with effect from the date of issue of this order:—

1. Shri Arjun Krishna Gaonkar.
2. Shri Ashok Subha Nagvekar.
3. Shri Vishwanath Manguesh Gaonkar.
4. Shri Vinayak Raguvir Hoble.
5. Shri Gaganan Yeshwant Morajkar.
6. Shri Shamba Atmaram Chopdekar.
7. Shri Prabhakar Shanu Nagvekar.

8. Shri Shankar Narayan Karbotkar.

9. Shri Saju Vasu Shet.

Further, under Section 78(1)(b) of the aforesaid Act, I hereby appoint in place of the above committee, Shri P. H. Gawas, Supervisor, Goa State Co-op. Bank Ltd., Sankhali Branch as Administrator of the said society for a period of one year in the first instance.

Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 8th October, 1975.

Industries and Power Department

Notification

No. 5-73-72-IPD/PSK/(iv)

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-73-72-IPD/PSK(iv) dated 28-11-72 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 37 dated 14-12-72 regarding the determination of Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 65 dated 17-10-58 granted to Shri Prabhakar D. Sinai Kudchadkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of

Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information that the area shown in column 1 of the schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 76.0460 Ha | Iron | Potrem | Sanguem | Goa | North: Without special mention. South: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 52/52 held by P. D. Kudchadkar. East: Do, do, 75/59 Mohamed U. M. Hashan. West: Do, do, 13/50 held by Xec Kassim. |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 24th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-73-72-IPD-PSK(II)

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-73-72-IPD-PSK(ii) dated 29-11-72 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 38 dated 21-12-72 regarding the determination of Mining lease under Title of Concession No. 52 dated 9-6-52 granted to Shri Prabhakar D. Sinai Kudchadkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of

Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information that the area shown in column 1 of the schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 47.5040 Ha | Iron and Manganese | Potrem | Sanguem | Goa | North: Mining Leases under Title of Concession Nos. 65/58 and 75/59 held by P. D. Kudchadkar and M. U. M. Hashan respectively. South: Nalla. East: Nalla. West: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 65/58 held by P. D. Kudchadkar. |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 29th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-73-72-IPD/MS/9/50

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-73-72-IPD/MS/9/50 dated 24-1-73 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 44 dated 1-2-73 regarding the determination of mining lease under Title of Concession No. 9 dated 19-4-1950 granted to Smt. Manoramabai Shetye.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of

Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information that the area shown in column 1 of the schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|----------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 99.8400 Ha | Iron and Manganese | Pirla | Quepem | Goa | North: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 10/53 held by Lidia B. Simoes. South: Without special mention. East: Nalla. West: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 50/56 held by George A. de M. Sequeira. |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 25th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-73-72-IPD/QSC(iv)

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-73-72-IPD/QSC(iv) dated 9-12-72 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 39 dated 28-12-72 regarding the determination of mining lease under Title of Concession No. 113 dated 23-10-53 granted to Shri Quexova Sinai Cundo.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information

that the area shown in column 1 of the schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|----------|--------------------------------------|--------|----------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 68.1000 Ha | Iron Ore | Pissurlem | Satari | Goa | North: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 57/59 held by Sociedade Litho-ferro Ltd. South: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 80/53 held by Ranji R. Sinai Quirtornim. East: Boundary of Camarconda village. West: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 28/51 and 55/51 held by M/s Sesa Goa Ltd. & Manohar H. N. Pêrulkar respectively. |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 25th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-73-IPD/PSK(i)

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-73-IPD/PSK(i) dated 27-11-72 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 36 dated 7-12-72 regarding the determination of mining lease under Title of Concession No. 64 dated 17-10-58 granted to Shri Prabhakar D. Sinai Kudchadkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of

Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information that the area shown in column 1 of the schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 100 Ha | Iron | Calem | Sanguem | Goa | North: Without special mention. South: Railway line. East: Without special mention. West: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 29/59 held by M/s S. Kantilal & Cia. Ltd. |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 29th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-73-72-IPD/QSC(ii)

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-73-72-IPD/QSC(ii) dated 29-11-72 published in the Official Gazette Series II No. 37 dated 14-12-72 regarding the determination of mining lease under Title of Concession No. 11 dated 20-2-53 granted to Shri Quexova Sinai Cundo.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of

Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information that the area shown in column 1 of the schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|---------|--------------------------------------|--------|----------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 87.1100 Ha | Iron | Caurem and Maina | Quepem | Goa | North: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 1/51 held by Xec Mohamed Issac. South: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 21/56 held by Roguvir Naraina Lotlicar. East: Mining Leases under Title of Concession Nos. 12/53 and 44/51 held by Voicunta Canencar and M/s Shantilal Kushaldas and Bros. Resp. West: Mining Lease under Title of Concession No. 6/53 held by Crisna Mucunda Camotim. |

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 29th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-54-72-IPD/GSP/73

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-54-72-IPD/GSP/73 dated 11-12-73 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 39 dated 27-12-73 regarding the determination of mining lease under Title of Concession No. 31 dated 28-3-1952 granted to Shri Govinda Sitaram Patkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of

Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information that the area shown in column 1 of the schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 34.4250 Ha | Iron and Manganese | Rivona | Sanguem | Goa | North: Mining Lease under Title of Concession Nos. 31/50; 34/51 & 25/52 held by M/s Timblo Irmaos Ltd. Xec A. Abubakar & R. Santana Barneto respectively. South: Do, do. 41/53 held by Vasantaram G. Metha. East: Do, do. 34/51 held by Xec A. Abubakar. West: Public Road. |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 29th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-73-72-IPD

Read: Govt. Notification No. 5-73-72-IPD dated 2-2-73 published in Official Gazette Series II No. 46 dated 15-2-73 regarding the determination of mining lease under title of Concession No. 2 dated 18-1-60 granted to Shri Manuel Caetano P. Pacheco.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 58 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby notifies for general information

that the area shown in column 1 of the Schedule below is available for grant of mining lease in respect of the mineral shown in column 2.

Interested persons may apply for the grant of mining lease to the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji after expiry of 30 days from the date of publication of the Notification in the Official Gazette.

The sketches of the area shown in the schedule may be inspected at Mines Wing of the Directorate of Industries and Mines, Panaji on all working days during office hours.

SCHEDULE

| Area available for grant of mining lease | Mineral | Village in which the area is located | Taluka | District | Boundaries |
|--|---------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 89.4940 Ha | Iron | Carapur | Bicholim | Goa | North: Mining Leases under Title of Concession Nos. 25/50 & 99/52 held by L. P. Patel and G. N. Agrawal respectively. South: Do, 19/52 by M/s V. M. Salgaoncar & Bros. Ltd. East: Without special mention. West: Mining Leases under Title of Concession Nos. 94/52 and 18/57 held by M. P. Pacheco and Z. B. Neugui respectively. |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 29th October, 1975.

Notification

No. 5-108/75-IPD

In terms of rule 3(iii) of the Goa, Daman and Diu Minor Minerals Extraction and Removal Rules, 1974 published in the Official Gazette No. 47, Series I, dated 21st February, 1974, Government is hereby pleased to notify that no mining lease will be granted in case of minor minerals within a distance of 400 metres from the high water mark on the following beaches:—

Pernem: Arambol.

Bardez: Vagator, Anjuna, Baga, Calangute, Candolim, Sinquerim, Nerul and Quegdevelim.

Tiswadi: Miramar, Campal, Marivela and Dona Paula.

Mormugao: Baina, Bogmalo, Velsao and Cansaulim.

Salcette: Colva, Benaullim, Fatrade, Cavelossim and Mabor.

Canacona: Palolem, Galgibaga.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Power).

Panaji, 6th November, 1975.

Shri Prakash Mirajkar, Aquem, Margao, Goa, in respect of matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Lieutenant Governor Goa, Daman and Diu hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu, Panaji (Goa) constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

«Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Lorenz & Son, Photographer, Margao, Goa, in terminating the services of Shri Prakash Mirajkar, Aquem, Margao, Goa with effect from 15th July, 1974 is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to?»

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries & Labour).

Panaji, 1st November, 1975.

Labour and Information Department

Order

No. CLE/1/ID(2)/75/IT-16/75/1428

G. O. No. Whereas the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Management of M/s. Lorenz & Son, Photographer, Margao, Goa and their workman

Order

No. IT-LC/Award(4)/75

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu on an industrial dispute between the Management of M/s. V. S. Dhempo & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Goa and their workman employed under them, is hereby published as required under provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947).

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, (Industries and Labour).

Panaji, 4th November, 1975.

Before Shri R. V. Kollali, Industrial Tribunal Goa, Daman and Diu

Reference No. IT/24/74

Shri John Pereira, workman of
M/s. V. S. Dempo & Co. Pvt.
Ltd., Panaji-Goa.

1st Party

V/s.

The Management of M/s. V. S.
Dempo & Co. Pvt. Ltd.,
Panaji-Goa.

2nd Party

In the matter of discharge from services of Shri John
Pereira.

Appearances:

Shri George Vaz, General Secretary, Goa Trade and Com-
mercial Workers' Union (for sometime) and the work-
man in person — for the 1st Party.

AND

Shri Ramesh Desai — for the 2nd Party.

Order

By order G. O. No. 14 dated 18th March, 1974 the Govern-
ment of Goa, Daman and Diu, referred the dispute between
the workman and M/s. V. S. Dempo & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Panaji,
for adjudication with the following schedule: —

«Whether the action of the Management of M/s. V. S.
Dempo and Co. Pvt. Ltd., Panaji in discharging Shri John
Pereira, from service with effect from 24-7-1973 is justi-
fied and legal?»

If not, to what relief the concerned workman is en-
titled to?»

On receipt of the reference it was registered as IT/24/74 and the workman was called on to file his claim statement on 10th May, 1974. The 2nd Party, management filed its written statement on 25th May, 1974 producing along with it copies of notices and communications between the parties and also records of the inquiry and the order of discharge etc. The workman's stand being that the discharge was not legal and valid, the dispute had been referred for adjudication as stated above. In the course of the statement of claim, the workman made out the following: «he was working for the second party as senior accounts clerk from 12th July, 1965, and was confirmed with effect from 1st January, 1966, and he was fixed in the newly adopted grade of pay with effect from 1st January, 1970. He was an active member of Goa Commercial Employees' Union, though he was not a member of Committee of the Union, and this activity of the workman came to the notice of Administration of the second party, and the adoption of new wage scales by reason of the award consequent upon the agitation by the Union compelled the second party to incur extra expenditure towards the salary, provident fund, bonus, etc.; ever since his participation in the Union activities began, he was being harassed by the Administrative Manager who instigated some employees to harass, provoke or threaten the workman, and one Madhav Keni, on such instigation, had threatened the workman with physical force. In 1969, one Kishore Kenkare, the Accounts Officer of the second party even slapped the workman, who orally reported the incident to the Director of the second party, but no action was taken as the concerned officer was a close relative of the Director; the Administrative Manager was involved in the misappropriation of funds of the Company alongwith the Accounts Officer and two junior officers Shri B. P. Dhond and one Bakhale and another Senior Accounts Clerk, and Shri Jivaji Kenkre by name and the workman had been a hindrance to all these people; on 11th July, 1973, he received a charge sheet setting out that on 10th July, 1973, at about 3.00 p.m. or so, while Junior Officer Shri B. P. Dhond was explaining to Miss Sylvia Fernandes regarding some statement to be typed, the workman had shouted and used abusive language; on 12th July, 1973 the workman replied denying the allegations against him and, the management on the same day informed the workman that the inquiry into the charges levelled against him would be held on 16th July, 1973 at 10.00 a.m. by the Inquiry Officer Shri K. N. Rao; the management requested the workman to present himself for the inquiry at the aforesaid time with documents and witnesses if any before the Inquiry Officer; on 16th July, 1973 the workman could not appear before the Inquiry Officer, and so it was adjourned to 18th July, 1973 at 10.00 a.m. On 18th July, 1973, when he appeared

before the Inquiry Officer, he was not given proper opportunity to defend his case and the Inquiry was carried on in a hasty manner to the detriment of the workman. He was not given a copy of the statements recorded by the Inquiry Officer after the conclusion of the inquiry; the witnesses were all arranged witnesses who made false statements and were the same officers whose activities at misappropriation had been thwarted by the workman and also the Accounts Officer who had slapped the workman in 1969. The Senior Accounts Clerk and Shri Kishore Kenkare, Accounts Officer, were father and son and closely related to the Director of the 2nd Party; The other Junior Officer Dhond was a personal enemy of the workman within and outside the business premises and the two stenographers had been arranged by the Administrative Manager as he was keen to have the workman discharged so that the misappropriation could go on unchecked; the workman produced one witness in his defence, but he had no freedom to say what he wanted to say at the time of inquiry and was threatened with discharge; the punishment was drastic and perverse beside the flimsy charges against him and that therefore the order of discharge was bad in law, illegal and without proper inquiry».

By the written statement, the 2nd party contended that without any provocation the workman started using bad words to Junior Officer Shri B. P. Dhond who was talking to Miss Sylvia Fernandes regarding some statement to be typed, and this incident was witnessed by some employees who were present and the behaviour of the workman was subversive of discipline and good behaviour and amounted to riotous and disorderly behaviour on the premises of the establishment. Junior Officer Shri B. P. Dhond and Miss Sylvia Fernandes the Stenotypist and Junior Officer Shri Bakhale lodged written complaints on the same day in regard to the incident and a charge sheet was duly served to which the workman gave his explanation and the matter was placed before the Inquiry Officer who held the inquiry on the 18th July, 1973 from 10.00 a.m. On 18th July, 1973 the Inquiry Officer explained the inquiry procedure to the employee who put in a plea of not guilty before him, and thereafter, the complainant's witnesses were examined and the workman was called on to cross-examine them as and when they gave evidence but the workman cross-examined only one of the witnesses and declined to cross examine the others with a remark «that the words attributed to him by the witnesses were obscene and vulgar and the witnesses had stated falsehoods;» On the conclusion of the complainant's evidence the workman was asked to make his own statement and lead defence evidence and examined one witness on his behalf; thereafter both the sides gave their own interpretation of the evidence for and against them and the Inquiry Officer recorded his findings holding the workman guilty of the charges; thus full and fair opportunity was given to the workman to defend himself and there was neither any hurry nor preventing of defence evidence; there was no denial of natural justice as every opportunity was given to the workman; the management accepted the Inquiry Officer's findings and though it was of the view that the mis-conduct of the workman was such as to invite a dismissal, the management decided to discharge him in view of the fact that the workman had no bad antecedents and subsequently the workman had accepted all the benefits that were open to him by reason of the discharge order and obtained a certificate of service from the management and in view of these circumstances the claim statement of the workman was without any merit.

By reply the workman reiterated his case which he had made out in his statement.

The second party has raised a technical point that this is not an Industrial Dispute at all because only one workman is concerned and that the Government had not applied its mind in making the reference and simply acted on failure of conciliation report. In view of section 2(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, it can no longer be contended that the dismissal or discharge of single workman cannot be regarded to be an Industrial Dispute. This Tribunal is bound by the reference and cannot claim to judicially determine as to whether there was application of mind by the government or not. That is a matter for a judicial forum.

Turning to the merits of the dispute, it may be noticed that for the workman one witness was examined. This witness is the same person who was examined by him before the Inquiry Officer. He stated that at about 2.45 p.m. on 10th July, 1973, he heard Mr. Dhond talking about love affair to Miss Sylvia Fernandes, in the Accounts Department, and it was very awkward to see such a thing in the Office and the workman had not said anything at that time, and there

was no quarrel between workman and Mr. Dhond, and that at about 3.30 p. m. Mr. Kishore Kenkare, Accounts Officer, came to his cabin and called the workman and Mr. Dhond and thereafter he heard some loud talk between them which he could not make out. In cross-examination he admitted that the statements at the inquiry had been correctly recorded and that the inquiry started at about 10.00 a. m. and was over by 12.30 p. m. He also stated that he was not under any pressure when he made the statement before the Inquiry Officer, and he told the Inquiry Officer everything he knew about the incident in question without any reservation. He also stated that he was the President of the Union and as far as he could remember there was no case of victimisation on account of Union activities by the Management and that in his letter of 14th July, 1973, to the Management he had for the first time referred to the incident of 1969, in which the workman was slapped. He denied the suggestions made to him that the Affidavit which he had sworn were made at the suggestions of the workman. In the Affidavit which has been produced alongwith the reply of the workman to the written statement, this witness stated that the workman had not used any abusive language or shouted against Shri B. P. Dhond at about 3.15 p. m. on 10th July, 1973, and that in the month of April, 1969, Accounts Officer, Shri Kishore Kenkare had slapped the workman and though the incident was reported orally Director of the Company, no action had been taken against the Officer. What this witness stated before the Inquiry Officer is as follows: 'I heard some noise from Mr. John. He was talking. I don't know to whom he was talking. He was talking to Mr. Dhond, regarding what I don't know. Then Miss Sylvia Fernandes suddenly went to Mr. Kishore's cabin.' The witness having admitted that the statement had been correctly recorded and that he told the Inquiry Officer everything he knew about the incident without any reservation and his statement before the Inquiry Officer being as above the improved version which he tried to canvass before this Tribunal must be rejected as an after thought. The workman who made the statement before this Tribunal stated that it was not true that Mr. Dhond was merely giving instructions to Miss Sylvia Fernandes, but he actually heard him use abusive language which provoked him to ask Mr. Dhond as to why he was doing that. He also stated that Shri Madhav Keni, the sales representative met his witness at the time of inquiry, he had not asked for the copy of the inquiry proceedings, and he had signed the proceedings of the inquiry; this was the first charge-sheet served on him; he had not cross-examined the witness because they were false and traitor witnesses and there was no purpose of cross-examining them; there were about 30 to 40 employees in the hall when he questioned Mr. Dhond about the behaviour; it was false to say that he was annoyed because Mr. Dhond had got promotion and that he had been jealous of Mr. Dhond; he did not take part in the negotiation for the settlement with the Company when the Union carried on negotiations and there had been two settlements since the date of award given by Justice Naik. Several employees had been harassed for union activities; Mr. Deshpande was the Chief Accountant under whom the workman was working and the Chief Accountant never harassed him. He was acting like C.I.D. and Shri Madhav Keni had threatened his witness and the workman himself about a month prior to the inquiry and not during the inquiry; He had not reported to the Union when he was slapped in 1969; when he talked to Mr. Dhond he was near the table of his witness, Shri Mamaya Kamat; he heard Mr. Dhond saying to Miss Sylvia Fernandes that he could kiss or do whatever he like in the Office in normal tone, and this provoked the workman who said to Mr. Dhond in normal voice that he was not expected to talk indecently in the Office and Mr. Dhond kept quiet at that; The entire inquiry proceedings went on in his presence and the Inquiry Officer was dictating to the typist in his presence, and he heard statements; and the statements of Dhond, Bhakhale, Sylvia Fernandes, N. R. Kenkare and C. N. Kenkare were correctly recorded by the Inquiry Officer; he cross-examined Miss Pinto and her cross-examination was correctly recorded, as also the statement of Kundalkar; it was correct that he declined to cross examine all the witnesses except Miss Pinto and he was allowed to cross examine her; He was asked to make statement and whatever he said was correctly recorded and the statement of his witness Shri Mamaya Kamat was also correctly recorded.

On behalf of the management the Inquiry Officer gave the statement and stated that he had forwarded the inquiry proceedings and other papers such as charge sheet, show cause notice and the employee's explanation, and that no written complaint by Shri Dhond and Bakhale and Miss Syl-

via Fernandes were produced before him and he had not told the workman that he was entitled to have the services of a co-worker.

In view of the claim made by the workman that he was a silent worker for the union, it is difficult to believe that he was not aware of his right to be assisted by a co-worker. Further, the President of the Union is obviously close to the workman.

It has been admitted unequivocally that the Inquiry Officer records were true and the proceedings at the inquiry have also been correctly recorded. The present attempt of the workman that he had not been given full and fair opportunity at the inquiry and that natural justice had been denied to him must be considered to be futile. The statement by the workman's witness who is also the President of the Union, that he is not aware of any case of victimisation disproves the allegation of the workman that the 2nd party has been resorting to victimisation of its employees for the Union activities.

When the witnesses have clearly made the statement in the presence of the workman and he was called to cross examine the witnesses and he chose not to do so and felt content by offering a remark that the statements were false, it could not be the case of no fair opportunity having been given, but would be one of non availing of the opportunity given. When that is so, finding by the Inquiry Officer, thus, would be the allegation against the workman were proved beyond all reasonable doubt, and the findings of the Inquiry Officer are to be fully justified.

It was also contended by the workman, that for the first offence he has been very severely punished. It will be noticed here that the management has given the lesser punishment i.e. that of discharge instead of recording a dismissal, in view of the previous good record of the workman. The punishment to be given for a mis-conduct is a matter within the discretion of the management.

In the light of the above discussions, the workman is to fail. I answer the first question in the schedule in the affirmative. The second question does not therefore survive. I, therefore, pass the following award.

AWARD

The action of the second party in discharging the concerned workman is found to be justified and legal. No order as to costs.

R. V. KOLLALI
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal

Date: — 11-10-75.

Station: — Dona Paula.

Dictated on: — 11-10-75.

Revenue Department

Notification

No. RD/LQN/196/74

Whereas by Government Notification No. RD/LQN/196/74 dated 22-7-1974 published on page 223 to 224 of Series II, No. 18 of the Official Gazette, dated 1-8-1974 it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as 'the said Act') that the land, specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the 'said land') was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. construction of well (area 36.00 sq. metres).

And whereas the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as 'the Government') is satisfied after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of the said Act, that the said land specified in the schedule hereto is needed to be acquired for the public purpose specified above.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government is also pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector, Goa South Division, Margao to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in

respect of the said land, and to direct him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the said Deputy Collector Goa, South Division, Margao till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

| Sr. No. | Taluka | Village | Plot No. | Survey No. | Names of the persons believed to be interested | Approximate area in sq. mts. |
|---|--------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1. | Quepem | Curchorem | Plot known as «Mo-railem». | — | Shri A. D. Costa and his heirs, Tiles, Factory, Curchorem. | 36.00 |
| Boundaries: — | | | | | | |
| North: Church Road. | | | | | | |
| South: Camaral Road. | | | | | | |
| East: Property of Shri A. D. Costa and Comunidade de Curchorem. | | | | | | |
| West: Diversion Road Curchorem. | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | 36.00 |

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 7th November, 1975.

Notification

No. RD/LQN/198/74

Whereas by Government Notification No. RD/LQN/198/74 dated 22-7-1974 published on page 222 of Series II, No. 18 of the Official Gazette, dated 1-8-1974 it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as «the said Act») that the land, specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. for construction of well (area 36.00 sq. metres):

And whereas the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») is satisfied after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of the

said Act, that the said land specified in the schedule hereto is needed to be acquired for the public purpose specified above.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government is also pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector, Goa, South Division, Margao to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and to direct him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the said Deputy Collector, Goa South Division, Margao till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

| Sr. No. | Taluka | Village | Plot No. | Survey No. | Names of the persons believed to be interested | Approximate area in sq. mts. |
|---|--------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1. | Quepem | Curchorem | Plot known as «Deute Bhat» | — | Shri Shantadurga Sausthan, Queula, Ponda, Goa. | 36.00 |
| Boundaries: — | | | | | | |
| North: Property of Shantadurga Sausthan Queula. | | | | | | |
| South: Railway Line, Margao Curchorem. | | | | | | |
| East: Shri Shivaji Kudchadkar, Curchorem. | | | | | | |
| West: Shri Manguesh Kudchadkar, Curchorem. | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | 36.00 |

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 7th November, 1975.

Notification

No. RD/LRC/47/71-75(i)

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Land Revenue Code, 1968 (Act No. 9 of 1969), and in supersession of the Government Notification No RD/LRC/47/71-I dated 20-2-1971, the Administrator of Goa,

Daman and Diu hereby appoints each of the officers specified in the column 2 of the Schedule hereto annexed, to exercise the powers and discharge the duties and functions of the Revenue and Survey Officers under Code mentioned against each in the corresponding entry in Column 3 within the jurisdiction specified in the corresponding entry in Column 4 of the Schedule.

SCHEDULE

| Sr. No. | Officers | Officers under the Code | Jurisdiction |
|---------|--|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | Collector of Goa. | Collector. Director of Settlement and Land Records. | Goa District. Goa, Daman and Diu. |
| 2. | Collector of Daman. | Collector. | Daman District. |
| 3. | Civil Administrator, Diu. | Collector. | Diu District. |
| 4. | Dy. Collector, South Goa Sub-Division. | Dy. Collector. | South Goa Sub-Division. |
| 5. | Dy. Collector, North Goa Sub-Division. | Dy. Collector. | North Goa Sub-Division. |
| 6. | Director of Land Survey. | Superintendent of Surveys & Land Records. Survey and Settlement Officer. Inspector of Surveys and Land Records. | Goa, Daman and Diu. Goa, Daman and Diu. Goa, Daman and Diu. |
| 7. | Inspectors of Cadastral Survey. | Survey & Settlement Officer. | Goa, Daman and Diu. |
| 8. | Enquiry Officer, Panaji. | Survey & Settlement Officer. | Goa District. |
| 9. | Enquiry Officer, Vasco-da-Gama. | Survey & Settlement Officer. | Goa District. |
| 10. | Enquiry Officer, Margao. | Survey & Settlement Officer. | Goa District. |

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. R. Arya, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 31st October, 1975.

Local Self Government Department

Notification

No. 6-27-75-LSG(i)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Children Act, 1960 (Act No. 60 of 1960), the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby pleased to establish the Children Home in the premises of Bal Niketan situated in a building behind Ribandar Hospital, Ribandar, Tiswadi Taluka for the purposes of the said Act.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Abel do Rosario, Under Secretary (Development).

Panaji, 6th November, 1975.

Notification

No. 6-27-75-LSG(ii)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 11 of the Children Act, 1960 (Act No. 60 of 1960), the

Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby pleased to establish the Observation Home in the premises of Bal Niketan situated in a building behind Ribandar Hospital, Ribandar, Tiswadi Taluka for the purposes of the said Act.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Abel do Rosario, Under Secretary (Development).

Panaji, 6th November, 1975.

Notification

No. 6-27-75-LSG(iii)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Children Act, 1960 (Act No. 60 of 1960), the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby pleased to establish the Special School in the premises of Bal Niketan situated in a building behind Ribandar Hospital, Ribandar, Tiswadi Taluka for the purposes of the said Act.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Abel do Rosario, Under Secretary (Development).

Panaji, 6th November, 1975.